23	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
ul 2 9 2003 E	09/470,116	CLAYCOMB ET A	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
A SADEMER	Navin Natnithithadha	3736	

WL A	09/470,116	CLAYCOMB ET AL.
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TRADEMEN	Navin Natnithithadha	3736
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):	
(1) Navin Natnithithadha.	(3)	
(2) Robert J. Scheffel.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 January 2002.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applican	t 2)⊡ applicant's represen	ntative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	s e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-21</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>US 5,542,431</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reach	ed. g)⊠ was not reached.	h)
Substance of Interview including description of the ger reached, or any other comments: 1) Discussed the disprior art reference. A search will be needed based on needed. 3) Initialed PTO-1449 was not mailed with the include the PTO-1449. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the arms.)	the discussion. 2) A Change e last Non-Final Office Action	/New Power of Attorney is The next Office Action will er agreed would render the claims
allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	no copy of the amendments	that would render the claims
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide checked). 	e a separate record of the sub	stance of the interview(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVaction has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER reverse side or on attached sheet.	ONE MONTH FROM THIS !!	TERVIEW DATE TO FILE A

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signatura-i

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-413 (Rev. 03-98)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 7.





Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of Interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an Interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of Interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An Indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the Interview (if Form Is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed.
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner, (The Identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the VED attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and acquires the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the VED statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials. AUG 0 4 2003

Sheet 1 of 1



F ORM PTO-1449 PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (Modified)

> INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

> > (Use several sheets if necessary)

ATTY. DOCKET NO. 11016.02	SERIAL NO. 09/470,116	
APPLICANT: CLAYO	COMB et al.	
FILING DATE December 22, 1999	GROUP 3736	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

EXAMINER INITIAL	PATENT NUMBER	ISSUE DATE	PATENTEE	CLASS	SUBCLASS	FILING DATE IF APPROPRIATE
	4,503,808	03-1985	McAlister	119	1	
NM	4,635,587	01-1987	Leonardo	119	1	
	4,685,421	08-1987	Colburn, Jr.	119	1	
	4,696,258	09-1987	Magrath et al.	119	1	
	4,846,106	07-1989	Leonardo	119	1	
	4,895,165	01-1990	Blair	128	738	
	5,111,799	05-1992	Senger et al.	428	738	
	5,542,431	08-1996	Starzl et al.	128	738	
	5,566,679	10-1996	Herriott	128	738	
- PO T-	-,,					

FOREIGN PATENT OR PUBLISHED FOREIGN PATENT APPLICATION

DOCUMENT NUMBER	PUBLISHED DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUBCLASS	YES	NO

OTHER DOCUMENTS (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

P~	M.B.G. Dransfield, R. L. Nebel, R. E. Pearson, and L. D. Warnick; "Timing of Insemination for Dairy Cows Identified in Estrus by a Radiotelemetric Estrus Detection System"; Journal of Dairy Science Vol. 81, No. 7, 1998; pages 1874-1882
	DATE CONSIDERED 2/5/01

EXAMINER: Initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

AUG 0 4 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS



UNITED ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

TELECOPY/FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET

Date:	25/2002 .
To: (Nar	ME) ROBERT J. SCHEFFEL MATTER THAT THE PROPERTY OF THE PERSON OF THE PE
(Co.	ORSEY & WHITNEY LLP
(Fac	csimile No.) 303 - 629 - 3450
From: (Ex	aminer) NAVIN NATNITHITHADHA
·	lephone No.). 703 -305-2445
Re: App Number 1	olication Serial No. 09/479116 Attorney Docket
above. The l recipient, or disseminatio prohibited. I	IMPORTANT NOTICE tion contained in this facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the individual or entity recipient named information may be confidential and/or legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the named intended the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the named intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any on, distribution, copying, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this communication is strictly if you have received this communication in error, please notify the above sender immediately by telephone. You seek. Thank you.
NUMBER C PAGE)	OF PAGES TRANSMITTED 4 (INCLUDING THIS COVER
If you have	not received all pages of this transmission, please contact:
(703) 308 <u>★</u> The Pannormal NOTE:	atent Examiner at the above number
2 pages	INTERVIEW SUMMARY PTO-413 I.D.S. PTO-1449 (initialed) RECEIVED
ipage .	I.D.S. PTO-1449 (initialed) RECEIVED
	AUG 0 4 2003
	OFFICE OF PETITIONS